

Does a delay in surgical debridement beyond the traditional “six-hour window” independently increase the risk of deep infection?

Recommendation

The six-hour threshold is not supported. Major, high-energy, contaminated, grade III open fractures should be prioritized for early debridement within 12 hours, by a team with appropriate expertise and not delayed beyond 24 hours. Low-grade, well-perfused, and minimally contaminated injuries may be safely debrided by an experienced team within 24 hours.

Overview

1. The six-hour threshold is not supported.
2. Late debridement after 12 hours does measurably raise deep-infection risk proportional to time delay in large multicentre pooled series. The largest dataset (SIGN, n=10,651) shows a linear, cumulative rise (~0.17% per 6 h), steeper for Gustilo-III and tibia, and GOLIATH shows odds increases for GA-III >24 h (OR 2.17) and GA-IIIB tibia >12 h (OR 1.46).
3. The threshold for high grade fractures should be 12h rather than 6h.
4. Positive-for-timing signals come from large registries with unmeasured confounders, while negative studies are mostly underpowered single-centre series.
5. LEAP found delayed definitive-centre admission (>2 h) was the significant time risk factor.
6. A clean, low-energy, type I open distal-radius fracture is biologically different from a contaminated grade IIIB open tibia with soft-tissue loss. Studies of low-grade injuries reported very low infection rates even when treatment was delayed beyond historic cut-offs
7. High-grade, contaminated, high-energy injuries are both more prone to infection and more likely to be rushed to theatre anyway, confounding many studies.
8. Increased infection, non-union and worse functional scores when grade III lower-limb fractures were debrided after 12 hours in a tropical setting.
9. Infection prevention does not end with the first debridement. Fixation strategy, repeated debridement, timely wound closure or flap coverage, and avoidance of prolonged temporary management influence fracture-related infection and union. Delay beyond 5 days to definitive skeletal fixation or soft-tissue reconstruction increased fracture-related infection risk.
10. Studies have isolated independent risks on timing from Gustilo–Anderson (GA) grade, contamination, antibiotic timing, and debridement quality. With timing being less important.
11. Early antibiotics and surgical expertise matter more than a fixed clock.
12. No RCT on the subject as randomising to delay is ethically unacceptable.
13. Heterogeneity in timing cutoffs, infection definitions (superficial vs deep/FRI), and follow-up (some only 6 weeks, missing late deep infection).

Literature Table

Study / Guideline	Type	Level	Sample Size	Originating Centre(s)	Conclusion (on timing)
Pollak et al LEAP, JBJS 2010(26)	Prospective multicentre cohort	II	315 (307 analysed), severe high-energy, Gustilo IIIA-C	8 US Level-I trauma centres	Time-to-debridement not an <5/5–10/>10 h. Delay to debride
Cortez/Morshed — SIGN, JBJS 2023(27)	Retrospective analysis of prospective registry	III	10,651 femoral/tibial (61 countries)	SIGN / UCSF (low-resource)	Infection rose incrementally & tibia (0.18%). No discrete
Foote et al. GOLIATH meta-analysis(28)	SR & meta-analysis of cohorts	I (of III)	~18,239 (84 studies)	McMaster (Canada) / International	Progressive delay results in n Tibial fracture 12hr threshold: Gustilo III 12 hr threshold: C
Elnewishy — Cureus 2020(29)	SR & meta-analysis (tibia)	I (of cohorts)	Pooled tibia – metanalysis (15 studies)	Egypt	No difference across 5/6/8/12
AS Kamat — Adv Orthop 2011(30)	Retrospective cohort	III	103 open tibia - consecutive	UK single centre	No difference <6 h vs >6 h
J Singh et al — Strat Traum 2012(31)	Retrospective cohort (GA-III)	III	67 grade III	UK (Hull)	13.1% (<6 h) vs 10.8% (>6 h)
de Castro Fernandes et al — Acta Ortop Bras 2015(32)	Prospective cohort	II	151 open fractures (142 pts)	Brazil (São Paulo)	No influence of >6 h delay at
Arpit Singh et al — Cureus 2023(33)	Prospective cohort	II	124 open lower limb	India	Timing not significant to 24
A Bakshi et al — Cureus 2025(34)	Prospective cohort (<24 vs >24 h)	II	223 (110/113)	India (Patiala)	Timing not significant; grade
Konbaz et al — J Clin Orthop Trauma 2019(35)	Retrospective cohort (tibia)	III	113 open tibia	Saudi Arabia	No timing effect (p=0.201); not delay
Li et al — Orthop Surg 2020(36)	Retrospective cohort	III	215 open tibia	China (Xi'an)	Independent risk factors beyo
Hadizie et al — Malays Orthop J 2022(37)	Retrospective cohort (tibia)	III	91 open tibia	Malaysia	Less infections <12hrs vs 12
Leonidou et al — Strat Traum 2014(38)	Prospective post-policy cohort (6 yr)	II	220 Long-bone open	UK	6h threshold no difference 11 deep infection 8.5%→4.3% (
Nobert et al — 2016(39)	Prospective cohort	II	143 long-bone open	Tanzania	Tested early vs delayed per 6
Rotman et al — J Clin Med 2025(40)	Retrospective cohort	III	62 open forearms, Gustio I and II	Israel	>24 h delay did not ↑ infecti
You & Schneider — OTA Int 2020(41)	Review	V	N/A	Calgary	Rule historical; <24 h debrid quality matter more instead o
Whiting et al, OTA best practices — OTA Int 2024(42)	Expert consensus review	V	N/A	Multi-institution (OTA)	Antibiotic timing, host factor for debridement.
BOA/ British Limb Reconstruction Society Standards 2020 (43)	National guideline	Guideline	N/A	BOA/BAPRAS (UK)	6-h rule does not apply ; im energy GA-III; 24 h others; c
Hendrickson et al, NICE / TIDE (44)	Guideline + cohort	Guideline + cohort 116 3B open tibia	N/A	NICE (England)	Perform wound excision : immediately for highly conta

					within 12 hours of injury for Gustilo–Anderson classification contaminated within 24 hours of injury for Suggests High-energy open t despite many >12 h
--	--	--	--	--	---

1. Roberts I, Shakur H, Coats T, Hunt B, Balogun E, Barnetson L, et al. The CRASH-2 trial: a randomised controlled trial and economic evaluation of the effects of tranexamic acid on death, vascular occlusive events and transfusion requirement in bleeding trauma patients. *Health Technol Assess.* 2013;17(10):1-79.
2. Roberts I, Perel P, Prieto-Merino D, Shakur H, Coats T, Hunt BJ, et al. Effect of tranexamic acid on mortality in patients with traumatic bleeding: prespecified analysis of data from randomised controlled trial. *Bmj.* 2012;345:e5839.
3. Investigators PA-T, the ACTG, Gruen RL, Mitra B, Bernard SA, McArthur CJ, et al. Prehospital Tranexamic Acid for Severe Trauma. *N Engl J Med.* 2023;389(2):127-36.
4. Ali A, Gruen RL, Bernard SA, Burns B, Forbes AB, Gantner DC, et al. Tranexamic Acid Timing and Mortality Impact After Trauma. *Annals of Emergency Medicine.* 2026;87(1):83-9.
5. Guyette FX, Brown JB, Zenati MS, Early-Young BJ, Adams PW, Eastridge BJ, et al. Tranexamic Acid During Prehospital Transport in Patients at Risk for Hemorrhage After Injury: A Double-blind, Placebo-Controlled, Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Surg.* 2020;156(1):11-20.
6. Mazzei M, Donohue JK, Schreiber M, Rowell S, Guyette FX, Cotton B, et al. Prehospital tranexamic acid is associated with a survival benefit without an increase in complications: Results of two harmonized randomized clinical trials. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2024;97(5):697-702.
7. Neeki MM, Dong F, Toy J, Vaezazizi R, Powell J, Jabourian N, et al. Efficacy and Safety of Tranexamic Acid in Prehospital Traumatic Hemorrhagic Shock: Outcomes of the Cal-PAT Study. *West J Emerg Med.* 2017;18(4):673-83.
8. Wafaisade A, Lefering R, Bouillon B, Böhmer AB, Gäßler M, Ruppert M. Prehospital administration of tranexamic acid in trauma patients. *Crit Care.* 2016;20(1):143.
9. Chen HY, Wu LG, Fan CC, Yuan W, Xu WT. Effectiveness and safety of prehospital tranexamic acid in patients with trauma: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis with trial sequential analysis. *BMC Emerg Med.* 2024;24(1):202.
10. Li Y, Tian M, Zhong W, Zou J, Duan X, Si H. Prehospital tranexamic acid decreases early mortality in trauma patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Med (Lausanne).* 2025;12:1552271.
11. Acharya P, Amin A, Nallamotu S, Riaz CZ, Kuruba V, Senthilkumar V, et al. Prehospital tranexamic acid in trauma patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Front Med (Lausanne).* 2023;10:1284016.
12. Alhenaki AM, Ali AS, Kadir B, Ahmed Z. Pre-hospital administration of tranexamic acid in trauma patients: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Trauma.* 2021;24(3):185-94.
13. Benipal S, Santamarina JL, Vo L, Nishijima DK. Mortality and Thrombosis in Injured Adults Receiving Tranexamic Acid in the Post-CRASH-2 Era. *West J Emerg Med.* 2019;20(3):443-53.
14. Girardello C, Carron PN, Dami F, Darioli V, Pasquier M, Ageron FX. Evaluation of the prehospital administration of tranexamic acid for injured patients: a state-wide observational study with sex and age-disaggregated analysis. *Emerg Med J.* 2024;41(8):452-8.
15. Almuwallad A, Cole E, Rossetto A, Brohi K, Perkins Z, Davenport R. Nationwide analysis of prehospital tranexamic acid for trauma demonstrates systematic bias in adherence to treatment guidelines: a retrospective cohort study. *Int J Surg.* 2023;109(12):3796-803.
16. Ageron FX, Shakur-Still H, Roberts I. Effects of tranexamic acid treatment in severely and non-severely injured trauma patients. *Transfusion.* 2022;62 Suppl 1(Suppl 1):S151-s7.
17. Kunze-Szikszay N, Krack LA, Wildenauer P, Wand S, Heyne T, Walliser K, et al. The pre-hospital administration of tranexamic acid to patients with multiple injuries and its effects on rotational

thrombelastometry: a prospective observational study in pre-hospital emergency medicine. *Scand J Trauma Resusc Emerg Med.* 2016;24(1):122.

18. Fenwick A, Antonovska I, Pfann M, Mayr J, Wiedl A, Nuber S, et al. Does tranexamic acid reliably reduce blood loss in proximal femur fracture surgery? *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg.* 2023;49(1):209-16.
19. Shu HT, Mikula JD, Yu AT, Shafiq B. Tranexamic acid use in pelvic and/or acetabular fracture surgery: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Orthop.* 2021;28:112-6.
20. Kim CH, Hwang J, Lee SJ, Yoon PW, Yoon KS. The effect of tranexamic acid in open reduction and internal fixation of pelvic and acetabular fracture: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine (Baltimore).* 2022;101(29):e29574.
21. Anna K, Röttinger T, Lisitano L, Koenemann N, Förch S, Mayr E, et al. Tranexamic acid: single topical application for femoral neck fractures treated with arthroplasty results in lowest blood loss. *Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg.* 2025;51(1):31.
22. Barrett WJ, Kaucher KA, Orpet RE, Champion EM, Goodloe JM, Fischer PE, et al. Prehospital Trauma Compendium: Tranexamic Acid in Trauma – A Joint Position Statement and Resource Document of NAEMSP, ACEP, and ACS-COT. *Prehospital Emergency Care.* 2025:1-8.
23. Dumas RP, Succar BE, Vella MA, Appelbaum RD, Neal MD, Dultz LA, et al. The use of tranexamic acid in the management of injured patients at risk of hemorrhage: a systematic review and meta-analysis and an Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma Practice Management Guideline. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2025;99(5):785-94.
24. <IAEM-Guideline-TXA-V2.pdf>.
25. Napolitano LM. Prehospital tranexamic acid: what is the current evidence? *Trauma Surg Acute Care Open.* 2017;2(1):e000056.
26. Pollak AN, Jones AL, Castillo RC, Bosse MJ, MacKenzie EJ. The relationship between time to surgical debridement and incidence of infection after open high-energy lower extremity trauma. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2010;92(1):7-15.
27. Cortez A, Urva M, Subramanian A, Jackson NJ, Zirkle L, Morshed S, et al. Delays in Debridement of Open Femoral and Tibial Fractures Increase Risk of Infection. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2023;105(20):1622-9.
28. Foote CJ, Tornetta P, 3rd, Reito A, Al-Hourani K, Schenker M, Bosse M, et al. A Reevaluation of the Risk of Infection Based on Time to Debridement in Open Fractures: Results of the GOLIATH Meta-Analysis of Observational Studies and Limited Trial Data. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2021;103(3):265-73.
29. Elnewishy A. An Updated Evidence About the Role of Timing to Debridement on Infection Rate of Open Tibial Fractures: A Meta-Analysis. *Cureus.* 2020;12(9):e10379.
30. Kamat AS. Infection rates in open fractures of the tibia: is the 6-hour rule fact or fiction? *Adv Orthop.* 2011;2011:943495.
31. Singh J, Rambani R, Hashim Z, Raman R, Sharma HK. The relationship between time to surgical debridement and incidence of infection in grade III open fractures. *Strategies Trauma Limb Reconstr.* 2012;7(1):33-7.
32. Fernandes Mde C, Peres LR, de Queiroz AC, Jr., Lima JQ, Jr., Turíbio FM, Matsumoto MH. Open fractures and the incidence of infection in the surgical debridement 6 hours after trauma. *Acta Ortop Bras.* 2015;23(1):38-42.
33. Singh A, Agarwal A, Mohan R, Singh S, Tewari P, Srivastava S. The Effect of Timing of Debridement and Surgical Intervention in Open Fractures on the Rate of Infection and Surgical Outcomes: A Prospective Study in a Tertiary Care Setup. *Cureus.* 2023;15(4):e37204.
34. Bakshi AS, Rehnczy JS, Sharma M, Singh J, Nanda A, Mehta H. Association of Surgical Debridement Timings With Infection and Non-union Rates in Open Fractures of Lower Limb Long Bones. *Cureus.* 2025;17(1):e77392.
35. Konbaz FM, Alassiri SS, Al Eissa SI, Taha WS, Al Helal FH, Al Jehani RM. Does delay in surgical debridement increase the risk of infection in open tibia fractures in Saudi patients? A retrospective cohort study. *Journal of clinical orthopaedics and trauma.* 2019;10(2):305-9.
36. Li J, Wang Q, Lu Y, Feng Q, He X, Li Md Z, et al. Relationship Between Time to Surgical Debridement and the Incidence of Infection in Patients with Open Tibial Fractures. *Orthopaedic surgery.* 2020;12(2):524-32.

37. Hadizie D, Kor YS, Ghani SA, Mohamed-Saat MA. The Incidence of Fracture-Related Infection in Open Tibia Fracture with Different Time Interval of Initial Debridement. *Malays Orthop J.* 2022;16(3):24-9.
38. Leonidou A, Kiraly Z, Gality H, Apperley S, Vanstone S, Woods DA. The effect of the timing of antibiotics and surgical treatment on infection rates in open long-bone fractures: a 6-year prospective study after a change in policy. *Strategies Trauma Limb Reconstr.* 2014;9(3):167-71.
39. Nobert N, Moremi N, Seni J, Dass RM, Ngayomela IH, Mshana SE, et al. The effect of early versus delayed surgical debridement on the outcome of open long bone fractures at Bugando Medical Centre, Mwanza, Tanzania. *J Trauma Manag Outcomes.* 2016;10:6.
40. Rotman D, Atlan F, Shehadeh K, Ashkenazi I, Gurel R, Rosenblatt Y, et al. Timing of Debridement in Low-Grade Open Forearm Fractures Does Not Affect Infection Risk: A Retrospective Study. *Journal of Clinical Medicine.* 2025;14(9):2878.
41. You DZ, Schneider PS. Surgical timing for open fractures: Middle of the night or the light of day, which fractures, what time? *OTA Int.* 2020;3(1):e067.
42. Whiting PS, Obremskey W, Johal H, Shearer D, Volgas D, Balogh ZJ. Open fractures: evidence-based best practices. *OTA Int.* 2024;7(3 Suppl):e313.
43. <BOA-and-BAPRAS-Standards-for-Open-fractures-2020.pdf>.
44. Hendrickson SA, Wall RA, Manley O, Gibson W, Toher D, Wallis K, et al. Time to Initial Debridement and wound Excision (TIDE) in severe open tibial fractures and related clinical outcome: A multi-centre study. *Injury.* 2018;49(10):1922-6.